## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

:

v. : File No. 2:03 CR 5

:

TONY VELASQUEZ

## ORDER

The Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge was filed October 28, 2005. Petitioner's objections were filed November 14, 2005.

A district judge must make a *de novo* determination of those portions of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation to which an objection is made. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1); *Perez-Rubio v. Wyckoff*, 718 F.Supp. 217, 227 (S.D.N.Y. 1989). The district judge may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Id*.

After careful review of the file, the Magistrate Judge's
Report and Recommendation and the objections, this
Court ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's recommendations in full.

The motion to vacate, set aside or correct the sentence (Paper 286) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is **DENIED**.

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b), a certificate of appealability is DENIED because the petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of denial of a federal right.

Furthermore, the petitioner's grounds for relief do not present issues which are debatable among jurists of reasons, which could have been resolved differently, or which deserve further proceedings. See e.g., Flieger v. Delo, 16 F.3rd 878, 882-83 (8th Cir.) cert. denied, 513 U.S. 946 (1994); Sawyer v. Collins, 986 F.2d 1493, 1497 (5th cir.), cert. denied, 508 U.S. 933 (1993).

Furthermore, it is certified that any appeal taken *in forma* pauperis would not be taken in good faith, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

THIS CASE IS CLOSED.

Dated at Burlington, in the District of Vermont, this 15th day of December, 2005.

/s/ William K. Sessions III
William K. Sessions III
Chief Judge
U.S. District Court